HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

February 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

February 1997

CONTENTS

Section	1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	n 2 Facility Description2-1
Section	n 3 Compliance Status
Section	1 4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	n 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
3	Remedial Actions Required at South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
5	Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
6	Annual Operating Expenses - South Santiam Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. The hatchery is located on the South Santiam River just downstream from Foster Dam. The hatchery is used for adult collection, egg incubation, and rearing of Summer Steelhead and summer steelhead. Stayton Pond, a satellite facility, is used for the rearing of fall chinook.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead Results

The South Santiam facility includes one pond for adult holding, 14 concrete Burrow's ponds, and incubation facilities. The hatchery is funded by both the state of Oregon and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to mitigate for fish losses caused by development of Foster and Green Peter dams.

The South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery did not have a smolt-to-adult goal or hatchery monitoring and evaluation program and needed to document its adult contribution. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the water quality monitoring requirements, pathology-free water criteria, alarm requirements, feed preparation tests, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery need additional rearing space and a new adult holding pond. The hatchery needed to develop a smoltification goal, a smoltification monitoring program, and specfic incubation and rearing standards. The hatchery needed to develop a written broodstock collection plan, written spawning protocols, and an approved Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Check temperature of moist pellets at time of delivery to assess compliance with IHOT criteria
- Conduct fishery contribution studies
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Construct new holding pond for steelhead broodstock
- Construct two more small raceways to meet current program
- Develop alarm log
- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop hatchery M&E plan
- Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop written broodstock collection plan
- Develop written spawning protocols
- Document adult contribution
- Follow IHOT protocols for checking of flow alarms daily
- Follow IHOT protocols for the disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of transport vehicles
- Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transportation
- Install security alarms
- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing
- Release from hatchery to improve survival and reduce stress
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

South Santiam Fish Hatchery Name:

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook

Fall Chinook Summer Steelhead

Operating Agency: Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

COE Funding Agency:

ODF&W

The hatchery is located on the South Santiam River just downstream Location:

from Foster Dam.

Address: 43182 North River Road

Sweet Home, OR 97386

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Victor Shawe

Phone:

(541) 367-3437 Fax:

(541) 367-4399

Purpose: The hatchery is funded by both the state of Oregon and the U.S. Army

> Corps of Engineers (COE). The COE's obligation is to mitigate for fish losses caused by development of Foster and Green Peter dams. The COE mitigation agreement requires the annual production of no more than 71,000 lb of juvenile Summer Steelhead and steelhead. The fall chinook production goal involves the production of lower river fall chinook that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin

commercial and sport fisheries.

Production Goal: Spring Chinook

Produce 300,000 smolts (33,340 lb) for release into the South Santiam

River.

Provide a total of 3,257,200 eggs to Willamette Hatchery, McKenzie Hatchery, and Oregon's Salmon and Trout Enhancement Program.

Rear 545,000 smolts (36,333 lb) for transfer to Clackamas Hatchery

(Clackamas Stock)

Acclimate 434,000 smolts (48,222 lb) for release into the South

Santiam River

Fall Chinook

Rear 8,160,000 smolts (148,400 lb) for release into Mill Creek, Molalla River, North Santiam River and the Columbia River.

Summer Steelhead

Produce 144,000 smolts (32,000 lb) for release into the South Santiam River.

Produce 40,500 smolts (9,000 lb) for release into the North Santiam River.

Provide 1,425,000 eggs to Bonneville and Oak Springs hatcheries.

Water Supply: The hatchery currently receives water from Foster Reservoir. A total of

8,400 gpm is available for the rearing units. An additional 5,500 gpm

is used in the adult holding pond.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 1 asphalt adult holding pond

Incubation: 30 full stack vertical tray incubators (480 trays)

Early Rearing: None

Raceways: 10 concrete Burrow's ponds - 4,147 cf each

4 concrete Burrow's ponds - 5,022 cf each

Rearing Ponds: None

Satellite Facilities: Stayton Ponds

1 earth/gravel pond - 13,920,400 cf

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit.

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the South Santiam Hatchery was conducted on February 3-4, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Component		Location of	of Adult Holding, Sp	pawning, Incubation	, and Rearing	
	Foster Trap	South Santiam Hatchery	Oak Springs Hatchery	Bonneville Hatchery		
Adult Collection	✓					
Adult Holding		~				
Spawning		~				
Fertilization		~				
Incubation						
green-to-eyed		~				
eyed-to-hatch			✓	~		
Rearing						
fry		~				
fingerlings		~				
smolts		~				
Acclimation/release		~				

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statı	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A Yes ? No		No		Compliance	
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin agement plan?		•			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Santiam and Calapoola Subbasin Fish Management Plan	
e hatchery operating under a current hatchery ational plan?		•			IHOT Operations Plan, South Santiam Operations Hatchery Manual, and ODFW Production Schedule	
it understood by staff?		~				
it being followed?		~				
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
o you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?				~	None provided	Develop hatchery M&E plan
lt contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hery				~	Review of records	Document adult contribution
lt pre-spawning survival as compared with blished goal				~	Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 3 years. Adults held in ladder, high winter water levels in pond during winter.	Build new holding pond for steelhead
take as compared with established hatchery goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established	V				No eyed-eggs at this hatchery	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
luction as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
ent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal			V		No goal	Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults neet basinwide needs	~				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	IV/A	165	•	110		
perature						
oes your water temperature meet the criteria for pawning?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
oes your water temperature meet the criteria for cubation?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
oes your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
solved gases						
s the oxygen level near saturation?			~		No data	Monitor DO and record
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			~		No data	Monitor TGP and record
emistry						
ammonia (un-ionized)			V		No data	Run analysis for water chemistry parameters
arbon Dioxide			~		See above	See above
nlorine			✓		See above	See above
1			~		See above	See above
opper			~		See above	See above
ydrogen Sulfide			~		See above	See above
on			~		See above	See above
inc			'		See above	See above
bidity						
oes your turbidity meet the criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
alinity and hardness							
Ooes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis	
ite							
Ooes your nitrite meet the criteria?			✓		No data	Run analysis	
Contaminants							
Idrin Indrin Dieldrin Ieptachlor Chlordane Iethoxychlor Indane Ialathion Juthion			> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >		Run analysis See above	Run analysis See above	
hogens							
What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?							
Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing Others				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing See above None None	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	•	•
rm Systems						
To the following areas have alarms?						
Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems Security	~	****			Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion No quarantine areas and facilities No water treatment systems Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite esidences?		~			Discussion	
are water flow alarms checked daily?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for checking of flow alarms daily
are all other alarms checked weekly?		~			Discussion	Thow alarms dairy
there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
re telephone pagers used?		~			Discussion	
ılt collection and holding facilities						
Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
abation facilities						
ype 1: Vertical tray incubators No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				Not used for this program	
ype 2: O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	•					
ring facilities						
ype 1: <u>Burrows Ponds (small)</u> To you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Need two more small raceways to meet current program
'ype 2:	~					
To you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?						
'ype 3: Yo you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~					
eening facilities						
To you meet the approach velocity criteria?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
are rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?	~				Stock released on station	
dator control facilities						
are your predation control facilities effective?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
d storage facilities and quality control						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) ollow food manufacturer's recommendations?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				~	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				~	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				~	Discussion	See above
are the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.			V		Discussion	Check temperature of moist pellets at time of delivery to assess compliance with IHOT criteria
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		~			Discussion	with InoT chieffa
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		~			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		~			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	•				Do not use automatic hoppers or bulk storage	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
ease facilities						
To the release facilities ensure that fish are not ubjected to adverse conditions?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ution abatement facilities						
On the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		~			Discussion	
nsportation facilities						
re the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
odstock selection practices							
s the donor selection process document attached? (PM 40a)	~				Existing program; does not apply		
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	•				Existing program; does not apply		
wning practices							
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		•			Review of records/Discussion		
abation practices							
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				•	Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and Hatchery O&M manual	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan	
incubation practices written?				~	See above	See above	
ibation Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion		
ibation Type 2: (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	•						

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Comphance	Compnance
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				~	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan and Hatchery O&M Manual	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				~	Review Hatchery Operations Plan	See above
tearing Unit Type 1: <u>Burrow's ponds (small)</u> (see PM						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			>		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See above See above
learing Unit Type 2: (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	7					
tearing Unit Type 3: (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	V					
olt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Re Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being onducted? (PM #26)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? M #27)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the nitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
re the following water quality parameters within iteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Dissolved gases			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity		/			Review of records/Discussion	G 73.5 H.F
Alkalinity and hardness			\(\tau \)		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite Contaminants					Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants					Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? M #31)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		k
s hatchery performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
cent smoltification No you measure percent smoltification? No you have a smoltification goal Not you meet the smoltification criteria?			V	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Discussion Discussion Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
ring density (prior to release)						
Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
ease condition (at release)						
Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
nber (at release)						
Did you meet the release number goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
at release						
oid you meet the size goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
es of release						
Did you meet the release date goal?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
ation of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location?		'			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?						
are the fish reared in the subbasin? are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		V			Discussion Discussion	
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?				~	Discussion	Release all fish from hatchery to improve survival and reduce stress

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statı	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
nsportation facilities						
On transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Discussion	
s the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ormaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?		•			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				~	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for the disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of transport vehicles
the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?				~	Discussion	See above
s other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?		~			Discussion	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		•			Discussion	
No personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?		~			Discussion	
No the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive n inspection and service prior to the release season?		•			Discussion	
s a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior bloading?		~			Discussion	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to pading fish in the transport unit?		~			Discussion	
On hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes of 1 hour after loading?		~			Discussion	
When fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and naintained at approximately 8 ppm?		•			Discussion	
s water temperature in the transportation unit naintained within the 42-48 °F range?				•	Discussion	Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transportation
To fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		~			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		~			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		•			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	•
luation practices						
as the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies o:						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?				•	Steelhead not tagged	Conduct fishery contribution studies
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?				•	See above	See above
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?				•	See above	See above
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?				•	See above	See above
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?				•	See above	See above

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
Conduct visit at least monthly		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		~			Review of records/Discussion	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and noribund fish from each lot.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
leview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
teport finding and results of necropsies on standard orm.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
lecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ansfer to another facility.		•			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly nitoring visits being completed as described below?						
annually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
annually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		Compnance
e hatchery following accepted sanitation edures?						
re there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially r incubation and early rearing?				•	Discussion	Provide pathogen-free water for incubation and early rearing
re the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and ing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		V			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
water quality parameters being followed?						
are the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants		V	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5g
io to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed? Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)		V			Review of records/Discussion	
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19) To to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19				•	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		V			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Santiam and Calapoola Subbasin Plan	
o to subbasin plan PM #1						
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		>			Review IHOT Operations Plan, South Santiam Operations Hatchery Manual, and ODFW Production Schedule	
o to operational plan PM #2						
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?				V	None	Develop hatchery M&E plan
o to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery program meet requirements blished in the regional hatchery policies and asin planning documents in the following areas: es, stock, broodstock collection location, dstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, spawning and egg-take protocols?						
es the hatchery program meet the requirements for following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		~		<u>.</u>	Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)				•	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #41b
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		~		<u>.</u>	Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)				•	Review of records/Discussion	See PM 41b-d
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: cent smoltification, rearing density, disease dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?						
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
earing density (PM #22a2)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
isease condition (PM #22a3)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Sumber at release (PM #22a4)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ize at release (PM #22a5)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Pate of release (PM #22a6)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ocation of release (PM #22a7)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?		~			Discussion	
PM #22b						
ne release strategy appropriate for the program? PM #22c					Discussion	See PM #22c

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		Yes	?	No	1	_
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statu	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No			
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?							
s the broodstock collection plan written?				~	None provided	Develop a written broodstock collection plan	
Ooes the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:						pran	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?			~		See above	See above	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?			~		See above	See above	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?			•		See above	See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
s the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?						
are the spawning protocols written?				~	None provided	Develop written spawning protocols
are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		~			Review of records	
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?		~			Discussion	
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and andomize mating with respect to age class, and other raits?		•			Discussion	
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?		~			Discussion	
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?		~			Discussion	
the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs etained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Description of Performance Measure Compliance Stat		atus Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance		Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	_
nere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
s a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?				~	No plan	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
Ooes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				~	See above	See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				~	See above	See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				~	See above	See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				~	See above	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

	71
Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
None		
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Develop hatchery M&E plan		3
Document adult contribution		4a
Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan		4h
Follow IHOT protocols for checking of flow alarms daily		6
Develop alarm log		6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Check temperature of moist pellets at time of delivery to assess compliance with IHOT criteria		12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan		18-19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Release from hatchery to improve survival and reduce stress		22c
Follow IHOT protocols for the disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of transport vehicles		23
Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transportation		23
Conduct fishery contribution studies		24
Develop written broodstock collection plan		41
Develop written spawning protocols		42
Develop approved genetics M&E plan		43

_

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor and record DO and TGP		5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		5c, 5e-g
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Construct new holding pond for steelhead broodstock	\$1.5 million	4b
Install security alarms	\$10,000	6
Construct two more small raceways to meet current program	\$140,000	9
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing		5h, 28

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Section 5

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:
South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Year	Fisheries¹ (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear)	Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution ² (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1988	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1989	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1990	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available	No information available
1991					
1992		_			

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Tables 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
South Santiam Hatchery	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865

The total expenditures for the South Santiam Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - South Santiam Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Spring Chinook	\$253,875	\$240,914	\$270,157
2. Summer Steelhead	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865
3. Fall Chinook	\$312,855	\$225,057	\$157,234
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$742,424	\$630,637	\$626,256

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Expenditure Occurring at South Santiam Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,561	\$166,730	\$188,001
Operational Costs	\$232,905	\$257,529	\$284,689
Capital Costs	\$10,776	\$2,888	\$3,525
Indirect Costs	\$65,810	58,044	\$69,797
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$429,569	\$405,580	\$469,022
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)	41,452	40,968	44,100
Total Production (lb)	101,452	100,968	104,100
Program as Percent of Total	40.6%	40.6%	40.6%
Program Costs	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865

¹When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at South Santiam Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,561	\$166,730	\$188,001
Operational Costs	\$232,905	\$257,529	\$284,689
Capital Costs	\$10,776	\$2,888	\$3,525
Indirect Costs	\$65,810	58,044	\$69,797
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$429,569	\$405,580	\$469,022
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)	60,000	60,000	60,000
Total Production (lb)	101,452	100,968	104,100
Program as Percent of Total	59.1%	59.4%	57.6%
Program Costs	\$253,875	\$240,914	\$270,157

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at South Santiam Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,561	\$166,730	\$188,001
Operational Costs	\$232,905	\$257,529	\$284,689
Capital Costs	\$10,776	\$2,888	\$3,525
Indirect Costs	\$65,810	58,044	\$69,797
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$429,569	\$405,580	\$469,022
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)	41,452	40,968	44,100
Total Production (lb)	101,452	100,968	104,100
Program as Percent of Total	40.6%	40.6%	40.6%
Program Costs	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at South Santiam Hatchery by Program

Fall Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs			
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total			
Program Costs	\$312,855	\$225,057	\$157,234

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.